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And independent experts: Janis Brizga, Prof. Theodoros Zachariadis and Katja Kavcic Sonnenschein
09:00  Registration & welcome coffee

09:30-10:00  Setting the scene: biodiversity and land use – roles for economic instruments?
- Overview: Aim of the project
- Aim of the workshop and participants
- Q&A

10:00-11:00  Plenary roundtable I: making it work – good practices towards effectiveness
- Case I: Dr. Ciaran Byrne - Inland Fisheries Ireland
  Fishing fees supporting salmon conservation and management in Ireland
- Case II: Goran Gregurović - Ministry of Agriculture, Directorate for Forestry, Hunting and Wood Industry
  Forest public benefit function fee in Croatia
- Case III: [Speaker TBC]
  Result-based agri-environment measure in the state of Baden-Wurttemberg, Germany
- Q&A and insights from the floor

11:00  Coffee break

11:20-12:30  Plenary roundtable II: process and different actors – different perspectives?
- Summary of stakeholder roles and the policy cycle: Patrick ten brink - IEEP
- Case IV: Katheleen Dominique - OECD
  The role of civil society engagement and the political economy of biodiversity-relevant policy reform: Insights from the French tax on pesticides, agricultural subsidy reform in Switzerland, EU payments to Mauritania and Guinea Bissau to finance marine protected areas and transferable fisheries quotas in Iceland.
- Case V: Prof. Wolfgang Wende - Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development
  Changes in the design may improve acceptance of offsetting in Germany
- Q&A and insights from the floor

12:30-13:30  Lunch break and networking opportunity

13:30-14:30  Opportunities and barriers

  Facilitated discussion: [Facilitator TBC]

  Session Objective: Identify short-term, mid-term and long-term opportunity for fiscal instrument to meet biodiversity and land use objectives in your Member State/region

  Q:  What are the most obvious entry points and opportunities for economic instruments for biodiversity and land use in your country/in your region (political, economic, social and environmental)?

  Q:  What are the main barriers to reform – and how can they be addressed?
AGENDA 20/4

Capacity building, programmatic development and communication in the field of environmental taxation and budgetary reform
Biodiversity & Land Use Workshop, 20 April 2017
Berlin, [Location TBC]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:30-15:30</td>
<td>The devil is in the detail – how can economic instruments for biodiversity and land use be made more effective? Working groups look at the following two issues:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-15:30</td>
<td><strong>Working Group I: Design for effectiveness and acceptability</strong> Short input by biodiversity and/or land use instrument experts – civil society representative &amp; Member State, followed by a discussion Q: Which design features can improve acceptance? Q: How can effectiveness be improved? Q: What role is there for civil society engagement to help effectiveness and acceptability?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-15:30</td>
<td><strong>Working Group II: Windows of opportunity and civil society engagement</strong> Short input by a civil society representative, Member State and EU and followed by a discussion Q: What windows of opportunity are there (or could be created) for reforms to address the issues and challenges? Q: Where is there a specific need for engagement by (which) civil society? Q: Is there a specific place in the policy cycle for inputs? Q: What tools and approaches could be helpful to facilitate progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30-16:00</td>
<td>Tea and coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00-17:00</td>
<td>Final roundtable and wrap-up with feedback from the working group rapporteurs, followed by summary of the day and conclusions • Rapporteur’s feedback • Final feedback from the floor – proposals for how to improve civil society engagement in fiscal reform • Wrap up and next steps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>